AT10447

Federal Reserve System

Semiannual Regulatory Flexibility Agenda April 1, 1991 - October 1, 1991

BOARD OF GOVERNORS' SEMIANNUAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY AGENDA

The Semiannual Regulatory Flexibility Agenda provides information on those regulatory matters that the Board now has under consideration or anticipates considering over the next six months. It is divided into three parts: (1) regulatory matters that the Board may consider for public comment during the next six months; (2) matters that have been proposed and are under consideration; and (3) regulatory matters that the Board has completed or is not expected to consider further.

The Agenda is published twice a year in the *Federal Register*. Comments regarding any of the Agenda items should be submitted directly to the Board of Governors.

Circulars Division FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK March 1991

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Chap. II

Notice of Semiannual Regulatory Flexibility Agenda

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Semiannual agenda.

SUMMARY: The Board is issuing this Agenda under the Regulatory Flexibility Act and the Board's Statement of Policy Regarding Expanded Rulemaking Procedures. The Board anticipates having under consideration regulatory matters as indicated below during the period from April 1 through October 1, 1991. The next Semiannual Agenda will be published in October 1991.

DATES: Comments about the form or content of the Agenda may be submitted any time during the next six months.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to William W. Wiles,
Secretary of the Board, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve
System, Washington, DC 20551.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A staff contact for each item is indicated with the regulatory description below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is publishing its April 1991 Agenda as part of the April 1991 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulations, which is coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12291. Participation by the Board in the Unified Agenda is on a voluntary basis.

The Board's Agenda is divided into three sections. The first, Proposed Rule Stage, reports on matters the Board may consider for public comment during the next six months. The

second section, Final Rule Stage, reports on matters that have been proposed and are under Board consideration. A third section, Completed Actions, reports on regulatory matters the Board has completed or is not expected to consider further.

A dot (*) preceding an entry indicates a new matter that was not a part of the Board's previous Agenda, and which the Board has not completed.

(signed) Barbara R. Lowrey

Barbara R. Lowrey, Associate Secretary of the Board.

Section 1 Proposed Rule Stage

Regulation: E - Electronic Fund Transfers

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

15 USC 1693 et seq "Electronic Fund Transfer Act"

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 205

ABSTRACT:

The Board is conducting a review of Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, and establishes the basic rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of consumers who use electronic fund transfer services and of financial institutions that offer these services (whether or not these institutions hold the consumer's account). The review will consider whether any provisions of the regulation are in need of updating, whether any substantive changes are necessary because of technological and other developments, and whether special rules to govern the electronic delivery of government benefits to recipients should be proposed. The Board will also consider whether to make any legislative recommendations for statutory changes.

Public comment will be requested on any regulatory proposals that may be developed following the review. It is not anticipated that the revisions would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small banks.

TIMETABLE: ACTION

DATE

FR CITE

Board may consider revisions to Regulation E

05/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Dolores S. Smith Assistant Director Division of Consumer and Community Affairs 202 452-2412

Rin: 7100-AA77

Regulation: G - Securities Credit by Persons Other Than Banks, Brokers, or Dealers; and Regulation: U - Credit by Banks for the Purpose of Purchasing or Carrying Margin Stocks

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

15 USC 78g "Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended" 15 USC 78w "Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended"

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 207

12 CFR 221

ABSTRACT:

During the next four months the Board may address the ability of lenders subject to Regulations G and U to transfer a credit between these two types of lenders without treating the transaction as creating a new extension of credit. The regulations currently permit a transfer only between lenders subject to the same regulation. Several law firms have expressed an interest in such a deregulatory amendment. It is not anticipated that this proposal will affect a significant portion of the overall lending activities of a substantial number of small firms.

TIMETABLE: ACTION

Board may address transfer

DATE FR CITE

Board may address transfer 06/00/91 provisions in Regulations G and U

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Laura Homer

Securities Credit Officer

Div. of Banking Supervision and Regulation
202 452-2781

TITLE:

Rules of Practice for Hearings

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

PL 101-173, Sec 916

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 263

ABSTRACT:

Within the next two months, the Board will consider issuing for public comment revisions to its rules regarding administrative hearings. Section 916 of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 requires that the Federal banking agencies and the National Credit Union Administration Board jointly develop a set of uniform rules and procedures for administrative hearings, including provisions for summary judgment rulings where there are no disputes as to material facts of the case. The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Following review of comments, the Board is expected to adopt revisions to its rules before the statutory deadline of August 9, 1991.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE

Board is expected to request comment by

04/00/91

FR CITE

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Douglas B. Jordan Senior Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3787

Section 2 Final Rule Stage

Regulation: H - Membership of State Banking Institutions in the Federal Reserve System; and Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0709)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1844(b)

12 USC 3909

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 208

12 CFR 225

ABSTRACT:

In October 1990, the Board approved issuing for comment proposed modifications and clarifications to its risk-based capital guidelines which will: (1) ensure that certain off-balance sheet credit exposures, particularly sales of residential mortgages with recourse, are adequately captured in the risk-based capital framework; (2) clarify certain provisions of the guidelines that call for Board approval before any redemption of perpetual preferred stock; (3) ensure consistency with the Federal Reserve's general treatment of goodwill and with provisions contained in the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989; and (4) assign claims on a country's central bank to the same risk weight category as claims on the country's central government (55 FR 42022, October 17, 1990). The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next four months.

TIMETABLE: ACTION

Board requested public comment
Further Board action by

DATE 10/17/90 06/00/91

FR CITE 55 FR 42022

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Roger Cole Assistant Director Div. of Banking Supervision and Regulation 202 452-2618

Regulation: H - Membership of State Banking Institutions in the Federal Reserve System; and Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0711)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 225, Subpart G

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 3331 et seg

ABSTRACT:

In November 1990, the Board issued for public comment a proposed amendment to its real estate appraisal rule that would lower the dollar amount of transactions below which a certified or licensed appraiser would not be required (55 FR 49057, November 26, 1990). Currently, this amount is \$100,000; the Board sought comment on a proposal to lower it to \$50,000. This proposal would conform the Board's real estate appraisal rule to those of the other federal financial institutions regulatory agencies. This change is expected to increase the costs of federally related transactions having a transaction value between \$50,000 and \$100,000. These costs will either have to be absorbed by the regulated institutions or be passed on to their customers. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next four months.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board requested comment 11/26/90 55 FR 49057
Further Board action by 06/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: Yes

AGENCY CONTACT:

Michael O'Rourke Senior Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3288

TITLE:

Regulation: J - Collection of Checks and Other Items and Wire Transfers of Funds by Federal Reserve Banks (Docket Number: R-0722)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

- 12 USC 248(i)
- 12 USC 248(j)
- 12 USC 248(o)
- 12 USC 342
- 12 USC 360
- 12 USC 464
- 12 USC 4008

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 210

ABSTRACT:

In January 1991, the Board requested comment on a proposed amendment to Regulation J to require paying banks that receive presentment of checks from a Federal Reserve Bank to make the proceeds of settlement for those checks available to the Reserve Bank by as early as one hour after receipt of the checks (56 FR 3047, January 28, 1991). This amendment would be necessary to implement the proposed daylight overdraft measurement procedure under the Board's payments system risk reduction program. (See Docket Number: R-0721.) It is not expected that this proposal would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because small entities do not usually incur large daylight overdrafts, and many small entities are exempt from filing for an overdraft cap and would be exempt from pricing under the Board's proposed pricing program. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action by year-end.

TIMETABLE: ACTION

Board requested comment

Further Board action by

DATE 01/28/91 12/31/91

FR CITE 56 FR 3047

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Stephanie Martin Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3198

TITLE:

Regulation: K - International Banking Operations (Docket Number: R-0703)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 221 et seg 12 USC 1841 et seg

12 USC 3101 et seq

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 211

ABSTRACT:

In July 1990, the Board approved issuing for comment revisions to Regulation K in a number of areas dealing with the U.S. and foreign operations of Edge and Agreement corporations, member banks, bank holding companies, and foreign banking organizations (55 FR 32424, August 9, 1990).

The Board proposes to increase the authority of foreign subsidiaries of bank holding companies and Edge corporations to underwrite equity securities outside the United States from the current limit of \$15 million to an amount that is the lesser of \$60 million or 25 percent of capital. The limits for dealing in equity securities are proposed to be increased to the lesser of \$30 million or 10 percent of capital. Restrictions on the percentage of an issuer's shares that may be underwritten would be eliminated.

Other major areas in which the Board requested comment include expanding the list of permissible activities abroad to include underwriting life, accident, and health insurance; increasing the amount limits under which an organization may invest in a foreign company without prior notification to the Board; clarifying the portfolio investment authority; requesting comment on expanding the list of customers for which Edge corporations may provide full banking services in the United States; and clarifying the standards for exemption as a qualifying, foreign banking organization.

It is not expected that any revisions would have an adverse impact on a substantial number of small banking organizations. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next two months.

FR CITE

TIMETABLE: ACTION Board proposed revisions 55 FR 32424 08/09/90

Board will take further action by 04/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Kathleen O'Day Senior Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3786

Regulation: P - Minimum Security Devices and Procedures for Federal Reserve Banks and State Member Banks (Docket Number: R-0688)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1881 to 1884

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 216

ABSTRACT:

In December 1989, the Board approved issuing for public comment a zero-based review of Regulation P, the regulation which implements the Bank Protection Act of 1968, and establishes minimum security standards for Federal Reserve Banks and for state member banks (55 FR 12850, April 6, 1990). The review considers whether any provisions of the regulation are outdated and whether any substantive changes are necessary because of new technological developments. The regulation will also be reorganized and revised for simplicity and clarity. It is not anticipated that the revised regulation will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small banks. Following review of comments, the Board will consider changes in the regulation within the next two months.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board approved issuing revisions
for comment 12/15/89 55 FR 12850
Further Board action by 04/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Thomas A. Durkin
Regulatory Planning and Review Director
Office of the Secretary
202 452-2326

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0652)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1843 "Bank Holding Company Act"

12 USC 1844(b) "Bank Holding Company Act"

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225

ABSTRACT:

A provision of Regulation Y permits a State bank subsidiary of a bank holding company to engage through a nonbank subsidiary in any activity that is permissible under State law for the bank subsidiary itself, subject to the same limits as if the bank engages in the activity directly. (A similar rule applies to national bank subsidiaries regarding activities permissible for such banks under Federal law.) The Board received comments on this provision in connection with its general request for comments in May 1983 regarding the proposed revision of Regulation Y. Some of the commenters challenged the Board's authority to issue this provision, although it has been part of Regulation Y since 1971. In taking final action on the revision of Regulation Y, the Board deferred consideration of the comments on this provision and allowed the existing rule to remain in effect in the interim (49 FR 794, January 5, 1984). In December 1988, the Board requested public comment regarding whether this rule, as it applies to nonbanking companies owned by state banks in a holding company system, continues to be valid and appropriate in light of enactment of the Garn-St Germain Act and certain recent court decisions (53 FR 48915, December 5, 1988). The Board also held an informal public hearing on this matter on April 7, 1989. The Board has not proposed revising its current rule regarding subsidiaries of national banks in a holding company.

A determination to reverse the Board's state bank rule could have an adverse impact on small banks that are subsidiaries of holding companies because they might be required to restructure their nonbanking activities or to take other action. The Board has not determined what further action is appropriate.

TIMETABLE: ACTION	DATE	FR CITE
Board requested comments	05/25/83	48 FR 23520
Board allows existing rule to	01/05/84	49 FR 794
remain in effect		
Board requested comments	12/05/88	53 FR 48915
Further Board action to be	10/00/91	
determined		

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0652)

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: Yes

AGENCY CONTACT:

Scott G. Alvarez Assistant General Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3583

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0664)

LEGAL AUTHORITY: 12 USC 1843(c)(8)

CFR CITATION: 12 CFR 225

ABSTRACT:

In December 1988, the Board requested public comment on an application by a bank holding company to provide armored car transportation services to non-affiliated customers and on whether the proposed armored car activities may be deemed to be closely related to banking and a proper incident thereto for purposes of section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (53 FR 50292, December 14, 1988). The National Armored Car Association submitted comments in opposition to the application and requested a hearing on whether the proposal would meet section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act. A formal, public hearing before a hearing officer was held on June 16 and July 11, 1989, at the offices of the Board in Washington, D.C. On January 23, 1990, the hearing officer recommended that the application be denied, based on his determination that the proposed armored car services were not closely related to banking under section 4(c)(8). On June 18, 1990, the Board reversed this finding and remanded the case to the hearing officer for a recommended decision on whether the proposed services were a "proper incident" to banking and whether they constitute illegal branch banking. The hearing officer held two additional days of hearings on December 5 and 6, 1990, and is expected to make his recommendations to the Board in the next several months. Once the recommended decision is submitted, the matter will again be presented to the Board for a final determination. The application, if approved, would permit a small bank holding company that currently operates an armored car for internal automatic teller machine servicing to utilize excess capacity in the armored car to serve non-affiliated financial institutions and other customers in the East Moline, Illinois, trading area, an activity not currently permitted under 12 CFR 225.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board issued proposal for comment 12/14/88 53 FR 50292
Board will review further 06/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Katherine H. Wheatley Senior Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3779

TITLE:

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0686)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

PL 101-73, 103 Stat 183

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR Part 225, Subpart H

ABSTRACT:

In February 1990, the Board approved issuing for public comment a proposed regulation, which implements section 914 of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, requiring banks and bank holding companies to provide notice to the Federal Reserve System 30 days before adding any individual to the institution's board of directors or employing any individual as a senior executive officer under certain circumstances (55 FR 6787, February 27, 2990). Notice would be required if the institution is failing to meet minimum capital standards or is otherwise in a troubled condition, has undergone a change in control within the past two years, or has received a bank charter within the past two years. The regulation defines "senior executive officer," "troubled condition," and "change in control."

The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. State member banks and bank holding companies will be affected if they meet one of the criteria that triggers the notice requirements. Following review of public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next two months.

TIMETABLE: A

ACTION

DATE

FR CITE

Board approved proposal for

02/09/90

55 FR 6787

comment

Further Board action by

04/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Scott G. Alvarez Assistant General Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3583

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0706)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1843 12 USC 1844(b)

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225

ABSTRACT:

In September 1990, the Board issued for public comment a proposal to add full service brokerage and financial advisory activities to the laundry list in Regulation Y (55 FR 36282, September 5, 1990). The Board has previously determined by order that bank holding companies may provide these activities. Adoption of the proposal would not result in any significant economic impact on bank holding companies. Following review of public comments, the Board is expected to adopt the revisions within the next two months.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board issued proposal for comment 09/05/90 55 FR 36282
Final Board action by 04/30/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Scott Alvarez Assistant General Counsel Legal Division 202-452-3583

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0694)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1843(c)(8)

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225, Subpart C

ABSTRACT:

In May 1990, the Board approved issuing for comment a proposal to amend the provision in Regulation Y governing leasing transactions by bank holding companies to relax the limitation on reliance on the residual value of leasing property (55 FR 22348, June 1, 1990). The Board is proposing to raise the maximum estimated residual value of leased personal property on which bank holding companies may rely for their compensation in recovering the full cost of leasing transactions from 20 percent to up to 100 percent of the acquisition cost of the leased property. Section 108 of the Competitive Equality Banking Act of 1987 granted similar authority to national banks subject to a volume limitation. These higher residual value leasing transactions by bank holding companies would also be subject to certain volume limitations, and would remain subject to the prudential limitations currently set forth in Regulation Y. The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. Following review of public comments, the Board is expected to take further action by mid-year 1991.

TIMETABLE: ACTION Board requested comment Further Board action by

DATE 06/01/90 07/00/91

FR CITE 55 FR 22348

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Thomas M. Corsi Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3275

TITLE:

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0698)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1841 et seg

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225.125

ABSTRACT:

In June 1990, the Board approved issuing for comment a proposed revision to a Board interpretation of the Glass-Steagall Act and the Bank Holding Company Act that would permit bank holding companies to broker and recommend shares of investment companies that are advised by a bank holding company or its subsidiaries (55 FR 25849, June 25, 1990). The revision would permit bank holding companies the authority to perform greater services to investment companies and brokerage customers. The proposal would enable bank holding companies to enhance competition in the brokerage industry by clarifying permissible activities for bank holding companies.

The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next two months.

TIMETABLE:

ACTION DATE

FR CITE 55 FR 25849

Board approved proposal for comment 06/25/90 Further Board action by

04/00/91

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Brendan T. Gormley Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3721

TITLE:

Regulation: BB - Community Reinvestment (Docket Number: R-0691)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 2901 et seg

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 228

ABSTRACT:

In June 1990, the Board (along with the Department of the Treasury, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Office of Thrift Supervision) issued a temporary rule amending Regulation BB (and the other agencies' respective regulations) to implement changes in the Community Reinvestment Act contained in Title XII of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (55 FR 26623, June 28, 1990). Although the temporary rule is effective upon publication, the Board and other agencies are requesting comment prior to adopting final regulations. The amendments are intended to establish and set forth requirements for the institutions supervised by the Federal Reserve System regarding the public availability of the public section of the Community Reinvestment Act Performance Evaluations and CRA ratings of the institutions as prepared by the Federal Reserve Banks. The temporary rule requires institutions to place the CRA Performance Evaluation and CRA rating in a public comment file within 30 business days of receipt from the Federal Reserve Banks. The temporary rule, if adopted as a final rule, is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; it imposes only minor burdens on all institutions, regardless of size. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to

adopt final amendments by April 1991.

TIMETABLE: ACTION

Board adopted temporary rule

Final Board action by

DATE 06/28/90 04/00/91

FR CITE 55 FR 26623

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Janice Scandella Review Examiner Division of Consumer and Community Affairs 202 452-3946

TITLE:

Regulation: CC - Availability of Funds and Collection of Checks (Docket Number: R-0723)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 4008

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 229

ABSTRACT:

In February 1991, the Board published for comment a proposed amendment to Regulation CC to require paying banks to provide same-day settlement for checks presented by 8:00 a.m. local time at specified locations (56 FR 4743, February 6, 1991). The proposal would eliminate presentment fees for these checks and thereby facilitate their collection, consistent with the provisions of the Expedited Funds Availability Act. As a secondary effect of the proposal, small banks may experience increased check collection fees, over the long run, as volume shifts from the Federal Reserve to the private sector. However, because the primary purpose of the proposal is to increase the efficiency of the check collection process, to exclude small banks from the requirement of making same-day settlement would be counter to the chief objective of the proposal. Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action by year-end.

TIMETABLE: ACTION
Board requested comment
Further Board action by

DATE 02/06/91 12/31/91

FR CITE 56 FR 4743

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: Yes

AGENCY CONTACT:

Louise Roseman Assistant Director Div. of Reserve Bank Operations and Payment Systems 202 452-3874

Proposals to Modify the Payments System Risk Reduction Program (Docket Numbers: R-0661 and R-0668)

In June 1989, the Board requested comment on several proposals

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 221 et seg

CFR CITATION:

00 CFR None

ABSTRACT:

that would modify its payments system risk reduction program (54 FR 26090, June 21, 1989). The proposals concerned pricing and measurement of daylight overdrafts, net debit caps, measures of capital (R-0668), book-entry securities transactions (R-0669), and foreign bank agencies and branches (R-0670). In May 1990, the Board adopted in final form those elements of its risk reduction policy concerning net debit caps, measures of capital, book-entry securities transactions, and foreign bank agencies and branches (55 FR 22087, May 31, 1990). In January 1991, the Board issued a revised proposal on measuring daylight overdrafts (see Docket Number: R-0721). The Board expects to implement pricing when the measurement rules are finalized. In addition, in March 1989, the Board requested comment on changes in the way the Federal Reserve Banks treat automated clearing house transactions (Docket R-0661, 54 FR 8822, March 2, 1989). The Board expects to take further action on this proposal

changes in the way the Federal Reserve Banks treat automated clearing house transactions (Docket R-0661, 54 FR 8822, March 2, 1989). The Board expects to take further action on this proposal when other aspects of its risk reduction program are finalized. It is not expected that these actions will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because small entities do not usually incur large daylight overdrafts, and many small entities are exempt from filing for an overdraft cap and would be exempt from pricing under the Board's proposed pricing program.

TIMETABLE: ACTION	DATE	FR CITE
Board requested comment	06/21/89	54 FR 26090
Adoption of policies (R-0669,		
R-0670, and part of R-0668)	05/31/90	55 FR 22087
Further Board action by	12/31/91	

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Edward C. Ettin
Deputy Director
Division of Research and Statistics
202 452-3368

TITLE:

Proposals to Modify the Payments System Risk Reduction Program (Docket Number: R-0693)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 221 et seg

CFR CITATION:

000 CFR None

ABSTRACT:

In May 1990, as part of its payments system risk reduction program, the Board requested comment on a proposed risk reduction policy that would prohibit bankers' banks and Edge corporations from incurring funds or book-entry overdrafts on Fedwire (55 FR 22086, May 31, 1990). The Board proposed that Reserve Banks assess a penalty fee when these or other institutions with imposed zero caps incur inadvertent daylight or overnight overdrafts on Fedwire.

It is not expected that these proposals would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, because small entities do not usually participate in large-dollar wire transfer systems. Furthermore, this proposal is limited to a small subset of Fedwire participants.

Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action within the next five months.

TIMETABLE: ACTION
Board requested comment
Further Board action by

DATE 05/31/90 06/30/91

FR CITE 55 FR 22086

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Edward C. Ettin
Deputy Director
Division of Research and Statistics
202 452-3368

TITLE:

Proposal to Modify the Payments System Risk Reduction Program (Docket Number: R-0721)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 21 et seg

CFR CITATION:

00 CFR none

ABSTRACT:

In January 1991, the Board requested comment on a proposed method for posting debits and credits to depository institutions' accounts at Federal Reserve Banks in order to measure daylight overdrafts accurately under the Board's payments system risk reduction program (56 FR 3098, January 28, 1991). Accurate measurement of daylight overdrafts will be necessary in order to assess fees for daylight overdrafts, which the Board anticipates implementing in the future. The overdraft measurement proposal is a revision of the proposal issued for comment in June 1989 (54 FR 26090, June 21, 1989).

It is not expected that this proposal would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because small entities do not usually incur large daylight overdrafts, and many small entities are exempt from filing for an overdraft cap and would be exempt from pricing under the Board's proposed pricing program.

Following review of the public comments, the Board is expected to take further action by year-end.

TIMETABLE:

ACTION Board requested comment

DATE 01/28/91 12/31/91

FR CITE 56 FR 3098

Further Board action by

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Florence Young Assistant Director Div. of Reserve Bank Operations and Payment Systems 202 452-3926

Section 3 Completed Section

TITLE:

Regulation: D - Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions (Docket Number: R-0571)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 248(k)

12 USC 461(a)

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 204

ABSTRACT:

In May 1986, the Board issued for comment rules to clarify the definition of "deposit" in Regulation D to include the interest or liability associated with a borrowing in the form of certain sales of assets and related transactions by a depository institution (51 FR 16855, May 7, 1986). These transactions include a sale of assets that involves a full guarantee by the institution that, in effect, substitutes the institution's credit standing for that of the ultimate borrower and in which the institution retains the risk of borrower default after the asset is sold.

Further, the regulation currently treats obligations of an affiliate as deposits of the depository institution to the extent the proceeds are provided to the depository institution. The Board proposes to exclude proceeds received from a sale of assets without recourse to the affiliate.

The proposal also would clarify the application of Regulation D to certain of these transactions involving organizations effectively controlled by the depository institution even though not formally affiliated. Finally, the proposal would clarify how the Board measures the "maturity" of an obligation for the purposes of Regulation D.

The proposal requests comment on any alternatives that the public believes may be preferable to the Board's proposed amendments. Suggested alternatives will be considered when comments are analyzed.

The proposed rule would apply to all depository institutions. It is not anticipated that the proposal will have a negative impact on the ability of small depository institutions to attract deposits.

The Board will not take action on this matter in this form. Any future action will come only after further rulemaking procedures.

TIMETABLE: ACTION	DATE	FR CITE
Board proposed revisions to	05/07/86	51 FR 16855
Regulation D Comment period extended Action on this proposal is not expected	07/10/86 02/00/91	51 FR 25069

Regulation: D - Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions (Docket Number: R-0571)

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

John Harry Jorgenson Senior Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3778

TITLE:

Regulation: H - Membership of State Banking Institutions in the Federal Reserve System (Docket Number: R-0696)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 56

12 USC 60

12 USC 248(j)

12 USC 324

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 208

ABSTRACT:

In May 1990, the Board approved issuing for comment a proposed amendment to Regulation H that would change the way state member banks calculate their legal dividend paying capacity and clarify the circumstances under which these banks must seek the approval of the Board to pay dividends (55 FR 23941, June 13, 1990). Under the proposed amendments, banks would be required to calculate dividend paying capacity in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices ("GAAP"). This is intended to provide a more accurate measure of an institution's true dividend paying capacity, and to maintain parity between state member and national banks. The proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small banks.

In December 1990, following review of the public comments, the Board adopted the amendment in substantially the form proposed (55 FR 52982, December 26, 1990).

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE Board requested public comment 06/13/90 55 FR 23941 Board adopted amendment 12/26/90 55 FR 52982

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Lawranne Stewart Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3513

Regulation: H - Membership of State Banking Institutions in the Federal Reserve System; and Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0616)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1843 (c)(8)

12 USC 371c

12 USC 3901

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225.13 (b)(1)

12 CFR 225, Appendix

12 CFR 208.14

ABSTRACT:

In November 1987, the Board requested comment on whether, in evaluating proposals submitted under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board should consider the impact of real estate activities of the bank to be acquired by the bank holding company on the financial condition of the bank and bank holding company, and, where appropriate, should prohibit banks and savings banks that are acquired by bank holding companies from directly engaging in real estate investment and development activities (52 FR 42301, November 4, 1987). The Board also requested comment on whether member banks that are not in a bank holding company should be made subject to the interaffiliate lending restrictions of section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act in their dealings with real estate investment and development subsidiaries of the bank. Finally, the Board requested comment on whether the Board should impose special capital requirements on real estate subsidiaries of banks in a bank holding company, under the Board's authority in the International Lending Supervision Act. These three proposals supplement the Board's earlier request for comment in December 1986 regarding whether the Board should permit bank holding companies to engage in real estate investment activities. This proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on small companies because the Board believes that very few small banks are currently engaged in real estate investment and development activities, and bank holding companies are not generally permitted to engage in these activities. The Board will not take action on this matter in this form. Any future action will come only after further rulemaking procedures.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE Board requested public comment 11/04/87 52 FR 42301 Action on this proposal is not 02/00/91

expected

Regulation: H - Membership of State Banking Institutions in the Federal Reserve System; and Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0616)

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Scott G. Alvarez Assistant General Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3583

TITLE:

Regulation: J - Collection of Checks and Other Items and Wire Transfers of Funds by Federal Reserve Banks (Docket Number: R-0697)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 248(i)

12 USC 248(j)

12 USC 248(o)

12 USC 342

12 USC 464

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 210, Subpart B

ABSTRACT:

In May 1990, the Board approved issuing for public comment a proposed revision to Subpart B of Regulation J so as to apply Article 4A of the Uniform Commercial Code to funds transfers handled by Federal Reserve Banks, subject to a limited number of modifications and clarifications that are consistent with the purposes of Article 4A, and that generally could be made by a private funds-transfer system by means of a funds-transfer system rule under Article 4A (55 FR 23208, June 7, 1990). This revision to Subpart B would: (1) provide a more comprehensive set of rules for funds transfers involving Federal Reserve Banks than is currently provided by Subpart B; (2) make Subpart B consistent with state laws applicable to funds transfers as states adopt Article 4A; and (3) help ensure that, subject to their central banking responsibilities, Federal Reserve Banks compete on an equitable basis with private sector providers of funds-transfer services, and concurrently minimize any significant economic impact of the proposed rule on small entities. Although the proposed rule potentially affects all depository institutions, and any individual or business that may send or receive a funds transfer through Fedwire, the Board does not believe that complying with the proposed Subpart B rules will impose a significant cost on depository institutions, including

In October 1990, following review of the public comments, the Board adopted the proposal in substantially the form proposed (55 FR 40791, October 5, 1990).

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board approved proposal for comment 06/07/90 55 FR 23208
Board adopted proposal 10/05/90 55 FR 40791

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Oliver Ireland Associate General Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3625

small institutions.

TITLE:

Regulation: Q - Interest on Deposits (Docket Number: R-0514)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 371b

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 217

ABSTRACT:

In January 1986, the Board issued for comment proposals to clarify, update, and simplify the advertising provisions of Regulation Q (51 FR 1379, January 13, 1986). The revisions incorporate and supersede the proposals of March 1984 concerning advertising of split-rate deposits and IRA/Keogh (HR 10) Plan accounts. The proposal is not expected to have a significant adverse effect on small banks.

The Board will not take action on this matter in this form. Any future action on this matter will come only after further rulemaking procedures.

If further action is taken, it is also anticipated that the Board will consider various options with regard to providing written disclosures to consumers about their accounts.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board proposed revisions 01/13/86 51 FR 1379
Action on this proposal is not 02/00/91
expected

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Patrick J. McDivitt Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3818

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0537)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

- 12 USC 1841 "Bank Holding Company Act"
- 12 USC 221 "Federal Reserve Act"
- 12 USC 3901 "International Lending Supervision Act of 1983"

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225

ABSTRACT:

In December 1986, the Board requested public comment on a proposal to permit bank holding companies to engage in real estate investment activities within certain limits (52 FR 543, January 7, 1987). The proposed limits are designed to ensure that conduct of the activity does not result in unsafe or unsound practices, unfair competition, conflicts of interest or other adverse effects.

The Board requested public comment on a number of specific items, including whether real estate investment activities may be deemed to be closely related to banking and a proper incident thereto for purposes of section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act; whether the proposed limits on the size, scope, and manner in which the activity would be conducted are appropriate; whether nonbank companies owned by holding company banks should be prohibited from conducting these activities; and whether the Board should establish special capital requirements for bank holding companies that control banks directly engaged in these activities. The proposal, if adopted, would permit bank holding companies to engage in limited real estate investment activities that bank holding companies are not now permitted to conduct and would not impose more burdensome requirements on bank holding companies than are currently applicable. Moreover, the proposal includes provisions designed to permit small bank holding companies to participate meaningfully in the proposed activities. The proposal does not impose any limitations on the direct real estate investment activities of holding company banks. (See Docket Number R-0616, for additional information on proposed real estate investment limitations.)

The Board will not take action on this matter in this form. Any future action will come only after further rulemaking procedures.

TIMETABLE: ACTION	DATE	FR CITE
ANPRM	01/31/85	50 FR 4519
Board issues proposal for comment	01/07/87	52 FR 543
Action on this proposal is not	02/00/91	
expected		

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0537)

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Scott G. Alvarez Assistant General Counsel Legal Division 202 452-3583

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0699)

LEGAL AUTHORITY: 12 USC 1971(1)

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225, Subpart A

ABSTRACT:

In June 1990, the Board issued for comment a proposal to revise section 225.4(d) of Regulation Y to provide a limited exemption from the prohibitions contained in section 106 of the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970 ("section 106") to allow banks owned by bank holding companies to offer a price reduction on credit cards issued to their customers if the customer also obtains a traditional banking product from any of the credit card bank's depository affiliates (55 FR 26453, June 28, 1990). Section 106, generally prohibiting banks from offering reduced consideration for credit on the condition that the customer also obtain some additional service from the holding company affiliate of the bank, authorized the Board to grant exemptions that are not contrary to its purpose of preventing anticompetitive practices. In order to be eligible for the exemption, the credit card and the traditional banking products offered as part of an arrangement must also be available for separate purchase by a customer. In addition, the Board retains the right to terminate any exemption if it results in anticompetitive practices. In November 1990, following review of the public comments, the Board adopted the proposal substantially as proposed (55 FR 47741, November 15, 1990). As originally proposed, the exemption was only available for traditional banking products offered by depository subsidiaries of the card-issuing bank's parent holding company. The final rule, however, expands the exemption to include traditional banking products offered by both depository and nonbanking subsidiaries of the card-issuing bank's parent holding company.

This proposal is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities that would be subject to the regulation. The proposed regulation would make this exemption, recently approved by the Board in the context of two requests for an exemption by bank holding companies, available to bank holding companies generally, without the need for Federal Reserve System action on individual requests.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE

Board requested public comment 06/28/90 55 FR 26453

Board adopted proposal 11/15/90 55 FR 47741

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0699)

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Robert deV. Frierson Senior Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3711

Regulation: Y - Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control (Docket Number: R-0700)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1817(j)

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 225, Subpart E

ABSTRACT:

In November 1990, the Board, following review of public comments, amended Regulation Y to minimize the burden on persons who are subject to the Change in Bank Control Act ("Control Act") (55 FR 47843, November 16, 1990). Previously, the Board required an individual to file a notice under the Control Act when he or she acquired voting shares of a bank or bank holding company and would control between 10 and 25 percent of the shares of any class of stock after the acquisition. The amended rule allows such an individual to increase the percentage of ownership up to 25 percent without filing additional notices once approval has been received to acquire at least 10 percent of the voting shares of the bank or bank holding company. This change is not expected to have any adverse economic impact on small entities. The filing burdens on such entities will be minimized by this proposal. This action was taken because, in the Board's experience, the requirement for additional filings by a person who has already been subject to regulatory review and seeks to control less than 25 percent of the shares of a bank or bank holding company imposed significant burdens on the acquiring person without identifying significant financial, managerial, competitive, or other problems.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE
Board requested comment 07/10/90 55 FR 28216
Board adopted amendments 11/16/90 55 FR 47843

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Elizabeth Thede Attorney Legal Division 202 452-3274

TITLE:

Regulation: Z - Truth in Lending (Docket Number: R-0687)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 1604, as amended, "Truth in Lending Act"

CFR CITATION:

12 CFR 226

ABSTRACT:

In March 1990, the Board solicited comment on whether to amend Regulation Z to prohibit creditors from suspending advances of credit for their home equity plans if the maximum rate cap is reached (55 FR 10465, March 21, 1990). This action was taken as a result of questions that have arisen in a recent lawsuit. In September 1990, following review of the public comments, the Board adopted two amendments to Regulation Z (55 FR 38310, September 18, 1990). One amendment would allow creditors to continue to freeze the home equity line of credit when the rate cap is reached provided that they have reserved the right to do this in the credit contract. The second amendment requires that all disclosures about the repayment phase be provided with the home equity line of credit application. It is not expected that adoption of this proposal will have any significant impact on small institutions.

TIMETABLE: ACTION DATE FR CITE Board issued proposal for comment 03/21/90

Board adopted amendment

09/18/90

55 FR 10465 55 FR 38310

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: None

AGENCY CONTACT:

Leonard Chanin Senior Attorney Division of Consumer and Community Affairs 202 452-3667

TITLE:

Private Sector Presentment (Docket Number: R-0631)

LEGAL AUTHORITY:

12 USC 4008(c)

CFR CITATION:

00 CFR None

ABSTRACT:

In April 1988, the Board requested comment on whether it should require paying banks to settle for checks presented by private sector collecting banks before 2:00 p.m. in same-day funds and without imposing presentment fees (53 FR 11911, April 11, 1988). The purpose of such a regulation would be to speed the forward collection of checks by requiring paying banks to accept checks without charging a fee later in the day, thus increasing the number of checks that can be collected that day. It would give private sector collecting banks the same rights vis-a-vis paying banks as the Federal Reserve Banks now have. The Board did not make a specific proposal to amend its regulation in this regard. Rather, it merely requested comment on the idea of same-day settlement in private sector presentments. This docket has been supplanted by Docket Number: R-0723, as the Board, in February 1991, requested comment on a specific regulatory amendment to implement same-day settlement. If such a regulation were to be adopted, small banks may be affected, but the economic burden should be slight and outweighed by the benefits of the proposed rule.

TIMETABLE: ACTION	DATE	FR CITI	2
Board requests comment	04/11/88	53 FR 119	911
Board extends comment period to	07/21/88	53 FR 275	565
December 1, 1988	•		
Board proposed specific regulations	02/06/91	56 FR 474	43

EFFECTS ON SMALL BUSINESS AND OTHER ENTITIES: Yes

AGENCY CONTACT:

Louise L. Roseman Assistant Director Div. of Reserve Bank Operations and Payment Systems 202 452-3874

NOTICE

BOARD OF GOVERNORS' SEMIANNUAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY AGENDA

April 1, 1991 — October 1, 1991

The Semiannual Regulatory Flexibility Agenda provides information on those regulatory matters that the Board now has under consideration or anticipates considering over the next six months. It is divided into three parts: (1) regulatory matters that the Board may consider for public comment during the next six months; (2) matters that have been proposed and are under consideration; and (3) regulatory matters that the Board has completed or is not expected to consider further.

A copy of the Agenda was mailed to those on our mailing list who have previously requested it. Copies will be mailed to others upon request (Tel. No. 212-720-5215 or 5216); single copies of the Agenda or any regulation or regulatory amendment can be obtained at this Bank (33 Liberty Street), in the Issues Division area on the first floor.

Circulars Division
FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK
April 1991